



I hereby Certify that this Correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on August 3, 2006.

Dorris Stith Cole  
Name Dorris Stith Cole  
Signature August 3, 2006  
Date of Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/799,273  
Applicant(s) : Hansrudolf Iseli  
Filed : March 12, 2004  
Title : Cross Clamping Device  
TC/A.U. : 3679  
Examiner : Joshua T. Kennedy  
Docket No. : 041281.00006

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is in reply to the Office Action mailed July 27, 2006.

The examiners are thanked for their courtesy in conducting a telephone interview with the undersigned on April 4, 2006. During the interview, there was a discussion regarding the rejection of record (Schwartz in view of Ott) as to Claim 1. The Examiners indicated that, in their opinion, because one tube crosses over the end of the other tube in Schwartz the limitation of cross clamping is met. The Examiners indicated that Schwartz by itself shows a fixed position between the first and second clamps identified in Fig. 1. However, it is their opinion that Ott provides the teaching for clamping between the first and second clamp because nuts with camming surfaces could be used to cause clamping by deforming the channeled section shown in Fig. 1 of Schwartz. No agreement with respect to Claim 1 was reached.

Respectfully submitted,  
HODGSON RUSS LLP

By David L. Principe  
David L. Principe  
Reg. No. 39,336

DLP/dsc 041281/00006 BFLODOCS 1609836v1  
One M&T Plaza, Suite 2000  
Buffalo, New York 14203-2391  
(716) 856-4000  
DATED: August 3, 2006